



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/276,080	03/25/1999	CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL PURSE	583-1006	1624

7590

10/28/2002

LEE MANN SMITH MCWILLIAMS SWEENEY
AND OHLSON
P O BOX 2786
CHICAGO, IL 606902786

EXAMINER

DUONG, FRANK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2666

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/276,080

Applicant(s)

PURSE, CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL

Examiner

Frank Duong

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is a response to the amendment dated 08/13/2002. Claims 1-17 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Martin et al. (UPS 6,298,038) (hereinafter "Martin").

Regarding **claim 1**, in according to FIG. 6, block 50 and col. 9, line 23 to col. 15, line 19, Martin discloses a method of transporting a supercarrier signal (*supercarrier OC-192; col. 12, line 50*) over a network span (*52, 54, 56 and 58*), the method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2666

transmitting said supercarrier signal (OC-192), including messaging information (TOH; *col. 12, line 58*), using a first protocol (optical; OC-192) (*note: col. 12, line 54-56; SC input Port 91 and SC ROH Processor reads on the claimed limitation set forth*);

transparently demultiplexing (STS-1 Manger 85) said supercarrier signal (OC-192) into a plurality of trib signals (STS-48s; *col. 13, line 21*) (*note: col. 13, lines 13-19; STS-1 manger 85 reads on the claimed limitation set forth*);

transmitting said trib signals (STS-48s) over said network span (52, 54, 56 and 58) using a second protocol (electrical; STS-48) (*note: col. 13, lines 19-26; Trib Output Ports read on the claimed limitation set forth*); and

whereby the messaging information (TOH) required to maintain said first protocol is included in said trib signals (STS-48) (*note: col. 7, lines 48-60 and col. 12, line 62 to col. 13, line 3*).

Regarding **claim 2**, in addition to features in base claim 1 (*see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above*), the claim further calls for wherein the messaging information (TOH) is used to transparently multiplex (40) the trib signals (STS-48 signals at 61-64) into the supercarrier signal (OC-192) (*note: FIG. 6, col. 9, line 41 to col. 12, line 53; SC TOH Processor 66 and SC Output Port 71 read on the claimed limitation set forth*).

Regarding **claim 3**, in addition to features in base claim 1 (*see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above*), the claim further calls for wherein each trib signal (STS-48) is multiplexed from a plurality of basic signals (STS-1s) (*note: at col. 13, lines 19-21, Martin discloses each trib output port 81-84 is*

Art Unit: 2666

responsible for receiving the outgoing STS-1s from block 85, multiplexing the STS-1s into an output STS-48).

Regarding **claim 4**, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see *rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above*), the claim further calls for wherein the messaging information (*TOH*) includes both essential messaging information (*APS bytes K1 and K2; col. 7, lines 55-60*) and desirable messaging information (*E1, E2, F1 and M1; col. 13, lines 27-56*) (*note: at col. 13, lines 21-22, Martin discloses trib TOH received from block 80 is added into STS-48*).

Regarding **claim 5**, in according to FIG. 6 and col. 9, line 23 to col. 15, line 19, Martin shows an apparatus (*Tmux 40 or 50*) for transporting a supercarrier signal (*OC-192*) over a network span (*52, 54, 56 and 58*) including:

a network (*FIG. 6*) for transporting said supercarrier signal (*OC-192*), including messaging information (*TOH*), using a first protocol (*optical; OC-192*);

a network span (*40 and 30 and 50*) comprising a plurality of low bit rate network section (*61, 62, 63, 64, 81, 82, 83 and 84*) for transporting a plurality of trib signals (*STS-48s; col. 13, line 21*) using a second protocol (*electrical; STS-48*);

a transparent demultiplexer (*Tmux 50*) connected to said network (*FIG. 6*) and said network span (*52, 54, 56 and 58*) for demultiplexing said supercarrier (*OC-192*) into said trib signals (*STS-48s*) (*note: col. 13, lines 13-19; STS-1 manger 85 reads on the claimed limitation set forth*); and

wherein said demultiplexer (*Tmux 50*) includes means for inserting (*80, 88 and 90*) into said plurality of trib signals (*STS-48*) the messaging information (*TOH*) required

Art Unit: 2666

to maintain said first protocol (*optical*) (see *col. 12, line 62 to col. 13, line 12 and col. 13, lines 21-22*).

Regarding **claim 6**, in addition to features in base claim 5 (see *rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 5 discussed above*), the claim further calls for a multiplexer (40), connected between said network span (40 and 30 and 50) and said network (FIG. 6), for transparently multiplexing the trib signals into the supercarrier signals (see *col. 9, line 30 to col. 12, line 53*).

Regarding **claim 7**, in addition to features in base claim 5 (see *rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 5 discussed above*), the claim further calls for wherein the information required to maintain the first protocol is extracted from the trib signals (see *col. 9, line 41 to col. 11, line 60*).

Regarding **claim 8**, in addition to features in base claim 5 (see *rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 5 discussed above*), the claim further calls for the trib signal may pass in both directions along the network span (*inherent; FIG. 6 shows trib signals flow from Originating Trib System (40) along path 30 to Destination Trib System (50). However, at col. 9, lines 5, lines 28-29, Martin discloses T-Mux pair 40, 50 operates similarly for the reverse traffic. Thus, it is inherent that trib signals may pass in both directions along the network span as claimed*).

Regarding **claim 9**, in addition to features in base claim 5 (see *rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 5 discussed above*), the claim further calls for wherein the network span includes a transparent multiplexer (40) and a transparent demultiplexer (50) (see FIG. 6).

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding **claim 10**, in according to FIG. 6 and col. 9, line 23 to col. 15, line 19, Martin shows a transparent demultiplexer (50) comprising:

an input for receiving a supercarrier signal (OC-192) transported using a first protocol (*optical*);

a plurality of outputs (81-84) for transmitting a plurality of trib signals (STS-48s) using a second protocol (*electrical*);

means for demultiplexing (85) said supercarrier signal (OC-192) into said trib signals (STS-48s); and

means for extracting (80, 86, 88 and 90) messaging information (TOH), required to maintain said first protocol (*optical*), from the supercarrier signal (OC-192) and inserting (80) said messaging information (TOH) into the trib signals (STS-48s) (see col. 12, line 62 to col. 13, line 22).

Regarding **claim 11**, in according to FIG. 6 and col. 9, line 23 to col. 15, line 19, Martin shows a transparent multiplexer (40) comprising:

an output (71) for transmitting a supercarrier signal (OC-192) using a first protocol (*optical*);

a plurality of inputs (61-64) for receiving a plurality of trib signals (STS-48s) transported using a second protocol (*electrical*);

means for multiplexing (65) said trib signals (STS-48s) into said supercarrier signals (OC-192); and

Art Unit: 2666

means for extracting (60) messaging information (TOH) from the trib signals (STS-48s) and using (66) said messaging information (TOH) to maintain said first protocol (electrical) (see *col. 9, line 41 to col. 11, line 60*).

Regarding **claim 12**, in according to FIG. 6 and col. 9, line 23 to col. 15, line 19, Martin shows a network span (40, 30 and 50) comprising a plurality of low bit rate network sections (51-58), the network span having a first end (Destination Trib System) terminated by a transparent demultiplexer (50) and second end (Originating Trib System) terminated by a transparent multiplexer (40), wherein:

The transparent demultiplexer (50) comprising:

a) an input for receiving a supercarrier signal (OC-192) transported using a first protocol (*optical*);

b) a plurality of outputs (81-84) for transmitting a plurality of trib signals (STS-48s) using a second protocol (*electrical*);

c) means for demultiplexing (85) said supercarrier signal (OC-192) into said trib signals (STS-48s); and

d) means for extracting (80, 86, 88 and 90) messaging information (TOH), required to maintain said first protocol (*optical*), from the supercarrier signal (OC-192) and inserting (80) said messaging information (TOH) into the trib signals (STS-48s) (see *col. 12, line 62 to col. 13, line 22*); and

The transparent multiplexer (40) comprising:

e) an output (71) for transmitting a supercarrier signal (OC-192) using a first protocol (*optical*);

Art Unit: 2666

f) a plurality of inputs (61-64) for receiving a plurality of trib signals (STS-48s) transported using a second protocol (electrical);

g) means for multiplexing (65) said trib signals (STS-48s) into said supercarrier signals (OC-192); and

h) means for extracting (60) messaging information (TOH) from the trib signals (STS-48s) and using (66) said messaging information (TOH) to maintain said first protocol (electrical) (*see col. 9, line 41 to col. 11, line 60*).

Regarding **claim 13**, in addition to features recited in base claim 12 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 12 discussed above), the claim further calls for wherein the network span (40, 30 and 50) includes a transparent multiplexer (40) and a transparent demultiplexer (50) at each end (*see FIG. 6*).

Regarding **claim 14**, in addition to features recited in base claim 12 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 12 discussed above), the claim further calls for wherein the plurality of low bit rate network sections (51-58) provide parallel communications paths (*see FIG. 6*).

Regarding **claim 15**, in according to FIG. 6 and col. 9, line 23 to col. 15, line 19, Martin shows an optical communication network (FIG. 6) arranged to support, using a first protocol (optical), the carriage of a supercarrier signal (OC-192) including messaging information (TOH) through the optical communication network (FIG. 6), the optical communication network including:

Art Unit: 2666

a network span (40, 30 and 50) comprising at least one low bit rate network section (51, 53, 55 and 57 or 52, 54, 56 and 58) for transporting a plurality of trib signals (STS-48s) using a second protocol (electrical);

a transparent demultiplexer (50) connected to said network span for demultiplexing said supercarrier signal (OC-192) into said trib signals (STS-48) (see col. 13, lines 13-22); and

wherein said demultiplexer (50) includes means for inserting (80) into said plurality of trib signals (STS-48s) the messaging information (TOH) required to maintain said first protocol (electrical) (see col. 12, line 62 to col. 13, line 12).

Regarding **claim 16**, in addition to features recited in base claim 15 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 15 discussed above), the claim further calls for wherein the optical communication system is in the form of a loop (*see FIG. 2 and col. 6, lines 1-7, Martin shows fiber optic networks involving sites 10 and 20 having loops 100 and 110; and FIG. 4A and col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 2, Martin shows the configuration according to the invention where the four fiber spans 22-25 shown in FIG. 2 between two sites 10 and 20 are replaced by a high rate span 30a and 30b*).

Regarding **claim 17**, in addition to features recited in base claim 15 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 15 discussed above), the claim further calls for wherein the network span (40, 30 and 50) comprising a plurality of low bit rate network sections (51-58) providing parallel communication paths (51-58) across the network span (see FIG. 6).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 08/13/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments will be addressed hereinbelow in the order in which they appear in the response filed 08/13/2002.

In the Remarks of the outstanding response, on page 3, fourth paragraph, Applicants allege *"Martin teaches " a configuration for a telecommunication system that permits tributary channels to be carried transparently over a high rate line, with no change in provisioning of tributary systems," (Martin, col. 1, lines 59-61) and this is shown in Martin figures 5a-c and 7a-b. This is complete opposite of the present invention, which relates to "a method of transporting a supercarrier signal over a network span" in which the supercarrier is demultiplexed "into a plurality of trib signals" and the "trib signals" are transmitted "over said network span" (this application, claim 1) and this is shown in this application figures 2-6".*

In response Examiner respectfully disagree and would like to direct the Applicants' attention to Martin reference, figure 6 and the Summary of Invention, wherein Martin clearly shows the disputed environment.

In the Remarks of the outstanding response, on page 4, first paragraph, Applicants allege that *"in the network of Martin, it is ... teaching of Martin is the opposite of the teaching of the present application in which the payload information of the supercarrier is preserved when the supercarrier is demultiplexed into tribs (this application, page 11, lines 1-3)".*

Art Unit: 2666

In response Examiner respectfully disagrees. A careful review of the claims render no such language in the claims. Perhaps Applicants refer to certain features that are disclosed in the present application but not recited in the rejected claims in making the contention that the Martin reference fails to show certain feature of applicant's invention. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Examiner believes an earnest attempt has been made in addressing all of the Applicants' arguments. The rejection from the last Office Action is maintained.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 2666

examiner should be directed to Frank Duong whose telephone number is (703) 308-5428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Frank Duong
October 23, 2002

Seema S. Rao
Seema Rao AU 2666
SPE
10/24/02